

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,295	10/28/2003	Joseph A. Gonzales	A-2966-AU	4188	
21378 7591 1204/2008 APPLIED MEDICAL RESOURCES CORPORATION 22872 Avenida Empresa			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG		
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/24/2000	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/695,295 GONZALES ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit QUYNH-NHU H. VU 3763 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 October 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-2, 4-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

| Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) | Motice of Professers on Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | Shilling and Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Shilling and Information Disclosore-Statement(s) (PTO/05/08) | Shilling at Information Disclosore-Statement(s) (PTO/05/08) | Shilling

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Amendment and Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 10/08/08 has been entered.

Claims 1-2, 4-10 are present for examination.

Claims 11-25 are withdrawn from Election/Restriction

Claim 3 is cancelled.

Specification

This disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is the Examiner's position that Applicant has invoked sixth paragraph, means-plus-function language to define Applicant's invention. Therefore, the Examiner requires the Applicant to amend the specification pursuant to 37 CFR1.75(d) and MPEP 608.01 (o) to explicitly state, with reference to the terms and phrases of the claim element, what structure, materials, and acts perform the function recited in the claim element, what structure, materials, and acts perform the function recited in the claim element. Please not that the MPEP clearly states "Even if the disclosure implicitly sets forth the structure, materials, or acts corresponding to the means-(or step-) plus-function claim element in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, the PTO may still require the applicant to amend the specification pursuant to 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP 608.01(o)...". (Also see MPEP 2181 (Rev. 1, Feb. 2000)).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is the Examiner's position that Applicant has evoked sixth paragraph, means-plus-function language to define Applicant's invention. Therefore, the Examiner has objected to the claims for the reason set forth above in the objection to the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,295

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 6, 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant recites the gel 72a having non-compressible characteristics. However, Applicant does not specifically or clearly disclose in the Specification that what kind or list materials of gel 72a. Beside that, if the gel 72a is non-compressible character, why does the gel 72a deformable with different the shape? For example: the gel 72a having different shape before compressed state (Fig. 6) and during or after compressed state (Fig. 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abramson (US 4,143,853).

Abramson discloses a surgical valve comprising: a housing (including 11, 30); a proximal portion 30, a distal portion 11, a seal material 20 made of rubber having non-compressible characteristics; a proximal guide tube 14, a distal guide tube (including the portion of element 16 and extending through element 36 and also a part of element 11); a ridge and groove 31, 32 (Figs. 1-5) are the means adjustably moving the proximal housing portion axially relative to the distal housing portion to increase the pressure

Application/Control Number: 10/695,295 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

of the incompressible seal on the instrument and to create a locking force tending to inhibit movement of the instrument relative to the valve: a Luer lock 13 couoled to the distal housing portion

Abramson discloses the seal material 20 but does not including the seal material under a gel formation

Weinstein discloses a surgical valve similar to the claimed of invention. Furthermore, Weinstein further comprising: a gel 30 disposed in the gel cavity (Figs. 2-3)

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Abramson with a gel material, as taught by Weinstein, in order to increase the pressure on an instrument and enhance the sealing characteristic.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh-Nhu H. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3228. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 am to 3:00 pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763 Quynh-Nhu H. Vu Examiner Art Unit 3763